

29. Tonmeistertagung • VDT International Convention • 17. - 20. November 2016 • Cologne, Germany

## Life without a Safety Net? Redundancy in Ethernet based Audio Networks

#### Marc Schettke

@marcschettke



- Why redundancy matters
- Scope of this talk
- Existing standards and solutions
- Cost/Benefit Considerations
- Interoperability
- Summary/Conclusion

## Redundancy matters

#### Scenario

Live Venues

Broadcast

Live Recordings

Studio Recordings

Post Production



#### Requirements

Get it right the 1st time

High quality experience

Danger to life and health

Efficiency

## Redundancy matters

- Cables
- Devices
  - Loss of power
  - Hardware defect
  - Firmware Bugs

#### • Humans

•

## Misconfiguration

### • "Can I unplug thi…"





## Redundancy matters

- Less hardware = increased importance of each device/cable
- Networks obfuscates topology (",the cloud")
- Engineered networks require human resources & IT knowledge
- Synchronisation differs from traditional clocking





- Full Redundancy
- Network-related redundancy
- Automatic failover
- Local Area Networks
- Only Dante/Ravenna/AES67/AVB/TSN •



- Spanning Tree Protocol (today: RSTP/MSTP)
- physical mesh -> logical tree
- not glitchfree/hitless/seamless
- Recovery time hard to predict
- Single point of failure at devices





- Spanning Tree Protocol (today: RSTP/MSTP)
- physical mesh -> logical tree
- not glitchfree/hitless/seamless
- Recovery time hard to predict
- Single point of failure at devices





- Spanning Tree Protocol (today: RSTP/MSTP)
- physical mesh -> logical tree
- not glitchfree/hitless/seamless
- Recovery time hard to predict
- Single point of failure at devices





- Spanning Tree Protocol (today: RSTP/MSTP)
- physical mesh -> logical tree
- not glitchfree/hitless/seamless
- Recovery time hard to predict
- Single point of failure at devices





### Link Aggregation

- Link Aggregation Group (LAG) / Trunk
- Cable redundancy for important backbones
- Shorter recovery times than STP (more "deterministic")



#### Static Redundancy

- Double attached nodes (DANs)
- Redundancy handling in endpoints
- **Basic Operation:** 
  - Provide sequence information (ID)
  - TX: Replicate packet
  - RX: Eliminate duplicated packet
- Industrial Ethernet: IEC 62439-3









### Static Redundancy: Ring

- Reduced cabling and no switches
- One ring often impractical
- No Single Attached Nodes (SAN)
- Industrial Ethernet: HSR
- AVID AVB





### Static Redundancy: Redundant Star

- Two independent LANs
- Automation Networks: PRP
- SMPTE 2022-7, IETF RFC 7198
- IETF DetNet
- Dante, Ravenna







### Static Redundancy: Redundant Star

- Single attached nodes can't use second network
- Control and non-audio traffic may not be redundant





### Clocking

- Pandora's box
- Time-aware infrastructure?
- External clock input?
- PRP: Same grandmaster on both networks?
- trec\_network != trec\_ptp != trec\_media\_clock
  - Media clock <u>derived</u> from PTP clock



### Monitoring

- Dynamic Redundancy •
  - Information usually available (i.e. SNMP)
  - Not used by solutions
- Static Redundancy
  - Notification in user interface •
  - Information in log files



- Cost for hardware: Devices & Infrastructure
- "Hidden cost":
  - System integrator (initial setup)
  - IT expert (changing setups, troubleshooting)
  - Future proofness
- Convergence with other network services (Light, Video, Control)

### Dynamic Redundancy

- "Special switches" required (which are pretty standard)
- Recovery time depending on management\*
- Scales well:
  - LAG (backbones)
  - RSTP "ring"
  - RSTP multiple redundant paths
- Convergence possible

\* Redundancy optimization for networked audio systems; D. Kowalski, P. Kozlowski; Proc. 132nd AES Convention

#### Static Redundancy

- all-or-nothing: Ring or 2 LANs
- Duplicated management cost
- Increased complexity
- Convergence possible but interconnections require careful configuration
- But: still less expensive than analog or • complex digital redundancy!

- Static redundancy preferred
- RSTP/MSTP can be applied easily (even "on top")





- Solutions: Dante, Ravenna
- Protocols: AES-67, AVB/TSN



### Special case: Dynamic Redundancy

- Failover done at infrastructure level
- Supported by all solutions and protocols

#### Solutions

- Dante lacksquare
  - Devices interoperable if second network port exists
- Ravenna •
  - Devices interoperable if second network • port exists AND is already enabled

#### **AES67**

- "[redundancy is] outside the scope of AES67."\*
- "[...] can be added on top [...]"\*
- Responsibility of Media Networking Alliance?

#### \* http://medianetworkingalliance.com/faq-aes67/

### AVB/TSN

- Not specified in AVB
- Time sensitive networking (TSN, "AVB gen. 2")
  - 802.1AS-REV: Redundant PTP grandmaster
  - 802.1CB: "Frame replication and Elimination for Reliability" (FRER)
  - 802.1Qcc: Centrally-managed network
  - Auto-configuration available
  - Zero congestion loss

N, "AVB gen. 2") P grandmaster



### AVB/TSN

- Too good to be true?
  - Work in progress
  - Slow adoption predictable
  - Too heavy for our industry?



## Summary/Conclusion

- Different layers: •
  - Network (not glitchfree without new switches)
  - Application (Dante, Ravenna)
  - System (not "one size fits all")

## Summary/Conclusion

- An ideal solution...
  - scales with size and requirements
  - is interoperable within a standard set
  - doesn't add complexity for the user
- In reality...
  - this is only true with dynamic redundancy
  - neither AES67 nor AVB offer static redundancy

## Summary/Conclusion

- Standards available for industrial ethernet
- TSN (AVB gen. 2) could be a solution
- Unlikely to supersede AES67 compatible solutions
- A new AES standard based on IEC 62439-3 or IETF RFC 7198 might help



29. Tonmeistertagung • VDT International Convention • 17. - 20. November 2016 • Cologne, Germany

## Life without a Safety Net?

# Redundancy in Ethernet based

#### Marc Schettke

@marcschettke www.schettke.com